

Effective Support for Mutually Recursive Types

by

Peter Vincent Homeier

Department of Computer and Information Science
University of Pennsylvania
homeier@saul.cis.upenn.edu

copyright © Peter Vincent Homeier 1998

Problem Statement

- ***Modeling systems sometimes requires mutually recursive types***

E.g., the syntax of programming languages is often mutually recursive

- ***Melham’s type package is excellent in function and easy to use, but only defines one type***

Easy to specify the new type with its constructors

Package automatically constructs the definitions required

... proves various theorems for using the type

... provides tool to define new recursive functions on type

... provides tactic for proving properties of recursive functions


- ***Existing *mutrec* and *nested-rec* libraries enable definitions of mutually recursive types***

nested-rec is more expressive; allows new recursive types to be specified using *list*, *prod*, *sum*, and other type operators

But these libraries are not as easy to use as Melham’s type package

- ***New mutual library provides functionality of *nested-rec*, with ease of Melham’s package***

Provides tools of Melham’s package, but for mutually recursive types

 What is the proper priority of “ease of use” vs. functionality?

Example -- language syntax

```

atexp    = var | let dec in exp
exp     = atexp | exp atexp | match
match   = rule list
rule    = pat => exp
dec     = valbind | local dec in dec | dec ; dec
valbind = bind (pat to exp) list | rec valbind
pat     = wild_pat | var
    
```

- *Source code to create this example using “mutual” library:*

```

structure GramDef =
  DefineMutualTypesFunc
  (val name = "syntax"
   val recursor_thms = [list_Axiom,prod_Axiom]
   val types_spec =

     ` atexp = var_exp of 'var
        | let_exp of dec => exp ;

     exp = aexp of atexp
        | app_exp of exp => atexp
        | fn_exp of match ;

     match = match of rule list ;

     rule = rule of pat => exp ;

     dec = val_dec of valbind
        | local_dec of dec => dec
        | seq_dec of dec => dec ;

     valbind = bind of (pat # exp) list
        | rec_bind of valbind ;

     pat = wild_pat
        | var_pat of 'var ` );
    
```

Source using “nested-rec” library

```

val var_ty = (==`:`var`==);

local
  structure Ast : NestedRecTypeInputSig =
  struct
    structure DefTypeInfo = DefTypeInfo
    open DefTypeInfo
    val def_type_spec =
    [{type_name = "atexp",
      constructors =
      [{name = "var_exp",
        arg_info = [existing var_ty]},
       {name = "let_exp",
        arg_info = [being_defined "dec",
                   being_defined "exp"]}]}],
     {type_name = "exp",
      constructors =
      [{name = "aexp",
        arg_info = [being_defined "atexp"]},
       {name = "app_exp",
        arg_info = [being_defined "exp",
                   being_defined "atexp"]},
       {name = "fn_exp",
        arg_info = [being_defined "match"]}]}],
     {type_name = "match",
      constructors =
      [{name = "match",
        arg_info = [type_op{Tyop="list",
                      Args=[being_defined "rule"]}]}]}],
     {type_name = "rule",
      constructors =
      [{name = "rule",
        arg_info = [being_defined "pat",
                   being_defined "exp"]}]}],
     {type_name = "dec",
      constructors =
      [{name = "val_dec",
        arg_info = [being_defined "valbind"]},
       {name = "local_dec",
        arg_info = [being_defined "dec",
                   being_defined "dec"]},
       {name = "seq_dec",

```

```

        arg_info = [being_defined "dec",
                    being_defined "dec"]]}]},
{type_name = "valbind",
 constructors =
  [{name = "bind",
    arg_info=[type_op
              {Tyop="list",
               Args=[type_op
                     {Tyop="prod",
                      Args=[being_defined "pat",
                            being_defined "exp"]}]}]}],
    {name = "rec_bind",
      arg_info = [being_defined "valbind"]]}]},
{type_name = "pat",
 constructors =
  [{name = "wild_pat",
    arg_info = []},
   {name = "var_pat",
    arg_info = [existing var_ty]}]}]}];

val recursor_thms = [list_Axiom,prod_Axiom]

val New_Ty_Existence_Thm_Name = "syntax_existence_thm"
val New_Ty_Induct_Thm_Name = "syntax_induction_thm"
val New_Ty_Uniqueness_Thm_Name = "syntax_uniqueness_thm"
val Constructors_Distinct_Thm_Name =
  "syntax_constructors_distinct"
val Constructors_One_One_Thm_Name =
  "syntax_constructors_one_one"
val Cases_Thm_Name = "syntax_cases"

end (* struct *)
in
  (* Prove the defining theorems for the type *)
  structure GramDef = NestedRecTypeFunc (Ast);
end;
```

- ***Condensation is due to parser for a mutually recursive types specification language (essentially a domain-specific language)***

Uses exact same syntax as Melham's standard package, but allows multiple type specifications, separated by semicolons

Definition of mutually recursive functions

- *Using `define_mutual_functions` from “mutual” library:*

```

val vars_thm = define_mutual_functions

{name = "vars_thm",
 rec_axiom = syntax_exists,
 fixities = NONE,
 def =

(-- `(atexpV (var_exp (v:'var)) s = (v IN s => {} | {v})) /\
 (atexpV (let_exp d e) s = (decV d s) UNION (expV e s))
 /\
 (expV (aexp a) s = atexpV a s) /\
 (expV (app_exp e a) s = (expV e s) UNION (atexpV a s)) /\
 (expV (fn_exp m) s = matchV m s)
 /\
 (matchV (match rs) s = matchVs rs s)
 /\
 (matchVs (NIL) s = {}) /\
 (matchVs (CONS r mrst) s = (ruleV r s) UNION (matchVs mrst s))
 /\
 (ruleV (rule p e) s = (patV p s) UNION (expV e s))
 /\
 (decV (val_dec b) s = valbindV b s) /\
 (decV (local_dec d1 d2) s = (decV d1 s) UNION (decV d2 s)) /\
 (decV (seq_dec d1 d2) s = (decV d1 s) UNION (decV d2 s))
 /\
 (valbindV (bind bs) s = valbindVs bs s) /\
 (valbindV (rec_bind vb) s = (valbindV vb s))
 /\
 (valbindVs NIL s = {}) /\
 (valbindVs (CONS bhd brst) s = (valbindVp bhd s) UNION
                               (valbindVs brst s))
 /\
 (valbindVp (p,e) s = (patV p s) UNION (expV e s))
 /\
 (patV wild_pat s = {}) /\
 (patV (var_pat v) s = (v IN s => {} | {v}))`--);

```

Resulting Definition Theorem

- *Theorem produced by define_mutual_functions:*

```

val vars_thm =
  |- (!v s. atexpV (var_exp v) s = ((v IN s) => {} | {v})) /\
    (!d e s. atexpV (let_exp d e) s = decV d s UNION expV e s) /\
    (!a s. expV (aexp a) s = atexpV a s) /\
    (!e a s. expV (app_exp e a) s = expV e s UNION atexpV a s) /\
    (!m s. expV (fn_exp m) s = matchV m s) /\
    (!rs s. matchV (match rs) s = matchVs rs s) /\
    (!s. matchVs [] s = {}) /\
    (!r mrst s. matchVs (CONS r mrst) s =
      ruleV r s UNION matchVs mrst s) /\
    (!p e s. ruleV (rule p e) s = patV p s UNION expV e s) /\
    (!b s. decV (val_dec b) s = valbindV b s) /\
    (!d1 d2 s. decV (local_dec d1 d2) s =
      decV d1 s UNION decV d2 s) /\
    (!d1 d2 s. decV (seq_dec d1 d2) s =
      decV d1 s UNION decV d2 s) /\
    (!bs s. valbindV (bind bs) s = valbindVs bs s) /\
    (!vb s. valbindV (rec_bind vb) s = valbindV vb s) /\
    (!s. valbindVs [] s = {}) /\
    (!bhd brst s. valbindVs (CONS bhd brst) s =
      valbindVp bhd s UNION valbindVs brst s) /\
    (!p e s. valbindVp (p,e) s = patV p s UNION expV e s) /\
    (!s. patV wild_pat s = {}) /\
    (!v s. patV (var_pat v) s = ((v IN s) => {} | {v})) : thm

```

- *Additional variables permitted now, after the first (as ‘s’ above)*

The “nested-rec” library required definitions of lambda-forms.

The resulting definitions could not be used by simple rewriting, but required beta-conversion as well.

- *Variable names in theorem now match the original definition*

With the “nested-rec” library, the variable names were arbitrary.

Proofs by Mutual Structural Induction

- *Mutual structural induction tactic provided:*

MUTUAL_INDUCT_TAC has the type

$$\text{thm} \rightarrow (\text{thm} \rightarrow \text{tactic}) \rightarrow \text{tactic}$$

For a set of mutually recursive types op_1 through op_n ,

MUTUAL_INDUCT_TAC *ind_th* F reduces a goal of the form

$$(\Gamma, (--' (\forall x_1 : op_1. t_1[x_1]) \wedge \\ \dots \\ (\forall x_n : op_n. t_n[x_n]) '--))$$

to a collection of subgoals, one for each constructor of each type op_i , where the induction hypotheses for each case are processed by the theorem-continuation F (typically ASSUME_TAC).

For convenience, the clauses in the goal above may occur in any order; they need NOT be in the same order as the corresponding clauses in the induction theorem *ind_th*.

Some goal clauses may be missing entirely, in which case they are presumed to be

$$(\forall x_i : op_i. \top)$$

- *The “nested-rec” library provided no support for these proofs; Myra VanInwegen informally supplied a less convenient tactic*

Mutual Structural Induction Example

- *Mutual goal:*

```
g `(!a s (x:'var). x IN atexpV a s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!e s (x:'var). x IN expV e s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!m s (x:'var). x IN matchV m s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!rs s (x:'var). x IN matchVs rs s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!r s (x:'var). x IN ruleV r s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!d s (x:'var). x IN decV d s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!v s (x:'var). x IN valbindV v s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!l s (x:'var). x IN valbindVs l s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!pr s (x:'var). x IN valbindVp pr s ==> ~(x IN s)) /\
  (!p s (x:'var). x IN patV p s ==> ~(x IN s))`;
```

Then executing

```
e(MUTUAL_INDUCT_THEN syntax_induct ASSUME_TAC);
```

produces 19 subgoals, among which are:

...

```
(--`!s x. x IN expV (fn_exp m) s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

-----  

  (--`!s x. x IN matchV m s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

(--`!s x. x IN expV (app_exp e a) s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

-----  

  (--`!s x. x IN expV e s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

  (--`!s x. x IN atexpV a s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

(--`!s x. x IN expV (aexp a) s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

-----  

  (--`!s x. x IN atexpV a s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

(--`!s x. x IN atexpV (let_exp d e) s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

-----  

  (--`!s x. x IN decV d s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

  (--`!s x. x IN expV e s ==> ~(x IN s)`--)  

(--`!x s x'. x' IN atexpV (var_exp x) s ==> ~(x' IN s)`--)
```

Summary

- *“mutual” library supports the convenient definition and use of nested, mutually recursive concrete datatypes in the HOL logic.*
- *Three areas of advantage over existing libraries:*
 - Clear and concise specifications of mutually recursive types
 - More general definitions of functions on types
 - Easier proofs of properties about functions and types
- *Two versions available, with identical functionality:*
 - for HOL90, version 7
 - for HOL90, version 10
- *Source code is available for download:*
<http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~homeier/holsw.html>
- *Question:*
how important is “ease of use” versus functionality?